Project Title:	Improving the efficiency and environmental impact of mushroom composting
Project Number:	M 3e Horticulture LINK Project CSA6365/HL0163LMU
Project Leader:	Ralph Noble
Report:	Annual Report Year 1 October 2003– September 2004
Key Workers:	Ralph Noble, Mairead Kilpatrick, Phil Hobbs, Shekar Sharma Andreja Dobrovin-Pennington, Gary Lyons
Locations of Project:	Warwick HRI, Wellesbourne, Warwick, CV35 9EF
	DARDNI, Applied Plant Science Division, Northern Ireland Horticultural and Plant Breeding Station, Loughall, Co. Armagh, BT60 8JB
	Institute of Grassland and Environmental Research, North Wyke, Okehampton, Devon, EX20 2SB
Project Co-ordinator:	Mr Martyn Dewhurst, Tunnel Tech Ltd, The Old Airfield, Winchester Street, Leckford, Hants., SO20 6JF
Date Project Commenced:	1 October 2003
Keywords:	Mushrooms, Compost, Odour, Smell, Straw, Spawn-running, Mycelial growth

Whilst reports issued under the auspices of the HDC are prepared from the best available information, neither the authors or the HDC can accept any responsibility for inaccuracy or liability for loss, damage or injury from the application of any concept or procedure discussed

The contents of this publication are strictly private to the Consortium of Horticulture LINK Project No. HL0163LMU. No part of this publication may be copied or reproduced in any form or by any means without prior written permission of the Consortium. © 2004 Consortium of Horticulture LINK Project No. HL0163LMU.

Intellectual property rights are invested in the Consortium of Horticulture LINK Project No. CSA6365/HL0163LMU.

CONTENTS							
Consortium members	3						
GROWER SUMMARY	4						
Background and objectives	4						
Summary of results and conclusions	4						
Part 1: Effects of straw analysis on compost degradation	4						
Part 2: Reducing spawn-running time	5						
Part 3: Effects of recycled water analysis on odours	5						
PROJECT MILESTONES	6						
SCIENCE SECTION	8						
Part 1: Effects of straw analysis on compost degradation	8						
CONTENTS       Pa         Consortium members       3         GROWER SUMMARY       4         Background and objectives       4         Summary of results and conclusions       4         Part 1: Effects of straw analysis on compost degradation       4         Part 2: Reducing spawn-running time       5         Part 3: Effects of recycled water analysis on odours       5         PROJECT MILESTONES       6         SCIENCE SECTION       8         Part 1: Effects of straw analysis on compost degradation       8         Introduction       8         Materials and methods       8         Chemical analyses of straw samples       9         Dynamic mechanical analysis of straw       9         Dynamic mechanical analysis of straw       9         Composting tests on straw types       10         Composting tests on straw samples       11         Physical analyses of straw samples       12         Mushroom cropping procedure       10         Wheat straw samples       12         Composting tests on straw types       22         Composting tests on straw samples       12         Physical analyses of straw samples       12         Composting tests on straw types <td< td=""></td<>							
CONTENTS Consortium members GROWER SUMMARY Background and objectives Summary of results and conclusions Part 1: Effects of straw analysis on compost degradation Part 2: Reducing spawn-running time Part 3: Effects of recycled water analysis on odours PROJECT MILESTONES SCIENCE SECTION Part 1: Effects of straw analysis on compost degradation Introduction Materials and methods Chemical analyses of straw samples Physical analyses of straw samples Compost ing tests on straw types Compost analysis Mushroom cropping procedure Wheat straw samples Results Chemical analyses of straw samples Physical analyses of straw samples Tensile strength tests Dynamic mechanical analysis of straw Composting tests on straw types Compost analysis Mushroom cropping procedure Wheat straw samples Results Composting tests on straw types Compost analysis Mushroom mycelial growth and cropping Straw samples for 2004 Composting Experiments Straw from Wellesbourne and Kirton Straw from Northern Ireland Conclusions – Part 1 Part 2: Reducing spawn-running time Introduction Materials and methods Composting procedure Measurement of mycelial growth Compost analysis Mushroom Crooping Procedure Measurement of mycelial growth Compost analysis Mushroom cropping Experiments Compost analysis Mushroom Crooping Experiments Compost analysis Compost analysis Compost analysis Compost analysis Mushroom cropping Experiments Straw from Northern Ireland Conclusions – Part 1							
Consortium members Consortium members GROWER SUMMARY Background and objectives Summary of results and conclusions Part 1: Effects of straw analysis on compost degradation Part 2: Reducing spawn-running time Part 3: Effects of recycled water analysis on odours PROJECT MILESTONES SCIENCE SECTION Part 1: Effects of straw analysis on compost degradation Introduction Materials and methods Chemical analyses of straw samples Physical analyses of straw samples Physical analyses of straw samples Composting tests on straw types Compost analysis Mushroom cropping procedure Wheat straw samples Results Chemical analyses of straw samples Physical analyses of straw samples Results Composting tests on straw types Compost analysis Mushroom mycelial growth and cropping Straw samples for 2004 Composting Experiments Straw from Wellesbourne and Kirton Straw samples for 2004 Composting Experiments Straw from Wellesbourne and Kirton Straw samples for 2004 Composting Experiments Composting tests on straw types Composting tests on straw types Compost analysis Mushroom rothern Ireland Conclusions – Part 1 Part 2: Reducing spawn-running time Introduction Materials and methods Composting procedure Measurement of cellulose and lignin Laccase activity of composts Mushroom Cropping Procedure	8						
Physical analyses of straw samples	9						
Tensile strength tests	9						
Dynamic mechanical analysis of straw	9						
Consortium members         GROWER SUMMARY         Background and objectives         Summary of results and conclusions         Part 1: Effects of straw analysis on compost degradation         Part 2: Reducing spawn-running time         Part 3: Effects of recycled water analysis on odours         PROJECT MILESTONES         Science Secction         Part 1: Effects of straw analysis on compost degradation         Introduction       Materials and methods         Chemical analyses of straw samples       Physical analyses of straw samples         Physical analyses of straw samples       Composting tests on straw types         Composting tests on straw types       Compositing tests on straw samples         Physical analyses of straw samples       Physical analyses of straw samples         Physical analyses of straw samples       Physical analyses of straw samples         Results       Composting tests on straw types         Composting tests on straw types       Compost analysis         Mushroom mycelial growth and cropping       Straw from Wellesbourne and Kirton         Straw from Wellesbourne and Kirton       Straw from Wellesbourne and Kirton         Straw from Wellesbourne and Kirton       Straw from Wellesbourne and Kirton         Straw from Wellesbourne and Kirton       Composting							
Compost analysis	10						
Mushroom cropping procedure	10						
Wheat straw samples	10						
Results	11						
Chemical analyses of straw samples	11						
Physical analyses of straw samples	22						
Tensile strength tests	22						
WWER SUMMARY         Background and objectives         Summary of results and conclusions         Part 1: Effects of straw analysis on compost degradation         Part 2: Reducing spawn-running time         Part 3: Effects of recycled water analysis on odours         JECT MILESTONES         ENCE SECTION         Materials and methods         Chemical analyses of straw samples         Physical analyses of straw samples         Physical analyses of straw samples         Composting tests on straw types         Compost analysis         Mushroom cropping procedure         Wheat straw samples         Physical analyses of straw samples         Physical analyses of straw samples         Composting tests on straw types         Compost analysis         Mushroom cropping procedure         Wheat straw samples         Physical analyses of straw samples         Physical analyses of straw samples         Composting tests on straw types         Composting tests on straw types         Composting Experiments         Straw from Wellesbourne and Kirton         Straw from Wellesbourne and Kirton         Straw from Wellesbourne and Kirton         Straw from Northern Ireland         Conclusions – Part 1     <	21						
onsortium members  VWER SUMMARY Background and objectives Summary of results and conclusions Part 1: Effects of straw analysis on compost degradation Part 2: Reducing spawn-running time Part 3: Effects of recycled water analysis on odours  VECT MILESTONES  ENCE SECTION Part 1: Effects of straw analysis on compost degradation Introduction Materials and methods Chemical analyses of straw samples Physical analyses of straw samples Physical analyses of straw samples Composting tests on straw types Composting tests on straw types Results Chemical analyses of straw samples Straw samples Composting tests on straw types Composting testes on straw types Composting tests on straw	23						
Consortium members ROWER SUMMARY Background and objectives Summary of results and conclusions Part 1: Effects of straw analysis on compost degradation Part 2: Reducing spawn-running time Part 3: Effects of recycled water analysis on odours ROJECT MILESTONES CIENCE SECTION Part 1: Effects of straw analysis on compost degradation Introduction Materials and methods Chemical analyses of straw samples Physical analyses of straw samples Composting tests on straw types Composting tests on straw samples Physical analyses of straw samples Results Chemical analyses of straw samples Physical analyses of straw samples Results Chemical analyses of straw samples Results Chemical analyses of straw samples Physical analyses of straw samples Results Chemical analyses Results Chemical analyses Results Chemical analyses Results R	23						
Consortium members ackground and objectives Summary of results and conclusions Part 1: Effects of straw analysis on compost degradation Part 2: Reducing spawn-running time Part 3: Effects of recycled water analysis on odours action a	23						
Consortium members GROWER SUMMARY Background and objectives Summary of results and conclusions Part 1: Effects of straw analysis on compost degradation Part 2: Reducing spawn-running time Part 3: Effects of recycled water analysis on odours PROJECT MILESTONES SCIENCE SECTION Part 1: Effects of straw analysis on compost degradation Introduction Materials and methods Chemical analyses of straw samples Physical analyses of straw samples Composting tests on straw types Composting tests on straw types Compost analysis Mushroom cropping procedure Wheat straw samples Results Chemical analyses of straw samples Physical analyses of straw samples Results Composting tests on straw types Compositing tests on straw types Compositing tests on straw types Straw samples for 2004 Composting lagrowth and cropping Straw samples for 2004 Composting Experiments Straw from Wellesbourne and Kirton Straw from Northern Ireland Conclusions – Part 1 Part 2: Reducing spawn-running time Introduction Materials and methods Composting test Composting test Composting test Mushroom recelial growth Composting test on straw types Composting test on traw types Composting test on traw types Composting test analysis of straw Composting test analysis Mushroom trep and Conclusions – Part 1 Part 2: Reducing spawn-running time Materials and methods Composting test and terming time Materials and methods Composting test and Composting test analysis Composting t							
Straw from Wellesbourne and Kirton	24						
Straw from Northern Ireland	25						
Conclusions – Part 1	26						
Part 2: Reducing spawn-running time	26						
Consortium members         GROWER SUMMARY         Background and objectives         Summary of results and conclusions         Part 1: Effects of straw analysis on compost degradation         Part 2: Reducing spawn-running time         Part 3: Effects of recycled water analysis on odours         PROJECT MILESTONES         SCIENCE SECTION         Materials and methods         Chemical analyses of straw samples         Physical analyses of straw samples         Physical analyses of straw samples         Dynamic mechanical analysis of straw         Composting tests on straw types         Composting tests on straw types         Composting tests on straw samples         Physical analyses of straw samples         Results         Chemical analyses of straw samples         Physical analyses of straw samples         Physical analyses of straw samples         Results         Chemical analyses of straw samples         Physical analyses of straw samples         Results         Chemical analyses of straw samples         Tensile strength tests         Dynamic mechanical analysis of straw         Composting tests on straw types         Composting tests on straw types         Composting tests on straw type							
CONTENTS Consortium members GROWER SUMMARY Background and objectives Summary of results and conclusions Part 1: Effects of straw analysis on compost degradation Part 2: Reducing spawn-running time Part 3: Effects of recycled water analysis on odours PROJECT MILESTONES SCIENCE SECTION Part 1: Effects of straw analysis on compost degradation Introduction Materials and methods Chemical analyses of straw samples Physical analyses of straw samples Physical analyses of straw samples Composting tests on straw types Composting tests on straw types Results Chemical analyses of straw samples Physical analyses of straw samples Results Chemical analyses of straw samples Physical analyses of straw samples Results Chemical analyses of straw samples Results Chemical analyses of straw samples Straw samples for 2004 Composting Experiments Straw from Wellesbourne and Kirton Straw from Northern Ireland Conclusions – Part 1 Part 2: Reducing spawn-running time Introduction Materials and methods Composting procedure Measurement of mycelial growth Compost analysis Mushroom Topping Procedure Measurement of cellulose and lignin Laccase activity of composts Mushroom Cropping Procedure							
Consortium members GROWER SUMMARY Background and objectives Summary of results and conclusions Part 1: Effects of straw analysis on compost degradation Part 2: Reducing spawn-running time Part 3: Effects of recycled water analysis on odours  PROJECT MILESTONES SCIENCE SECTION Part 1: Effects of straw analysis on compost degradation Introduction Materials and methods Chemical analyses of straw samples Physical analyses of straw samples Composting tests on straw types Composting tests on traw samples Results Chemical analyses of straw samples Results Chemical analyses of straw samples Results Chemical analyses of straw samples Straw samples Composting tests on straw types Composting tests Dynamic mechanical analysis of straw Conclusions – Part 1 Part 2: Reducing spawn-running time Introduction Materials and methods Compo							
Measurement of mycelial growth	27						
Consortium members         SCOVER SUMARY         Background and objectives         Summary of results and conclusions         Part 1: Effects of straw analysis on compost degradation         Part 2: Reducing spawn-running time         Part 3: Effects of recycled water analysis on odours         CROJECT MILESTONES         SCIENCE SECTION         Materials and methods         Chemical analyses of straw samples         Physical analyses of straw samples         Results         Chemical analyses of straw samples         Physical analyses of straw samples         Physical analyses of straw samples         Results         Chemical analyses of straw samples         Physical analyses of straw samples         Composting tests on straw types         Composting tests on straw types         Composting tests on straw types         Composting trow from Northern Ireland							
Consortium members  EXOVER SUMMARY  Background and objectives Summary of results and conclusions Part 1: Effects of straw analysis on compost degradation Part 2: Reducing spawn-running time Part 3: Effects of recycled water analysis on odours  EXOJECT MILESTONES  CIENCE SECTION Part 1: Effects of straw analysis on compost degradation Introduction Materials and methods Chemical analyses of straw samples Physical analyses of straw samples Physical analyses of straw samples Compost analysis Mushroom cropping procedure Wheat straw samples Results Composting tests on straw types Compost analysis Mushroom mycelial growth and cropping Straw samples for 2004 Composting Experiments Straw from Northern Ireland Conclusions – Part 1  Part 2: Reducing spawn-running time Materials and methods Composting tests on straw types Compost analysis Mushroom mycelial growth and cropping Straw samples for 2004 Composting Experiments Straw from Northern Ireland Conclusions – Part 1  Part 2: Reducing spawn-running time Materials and methods Composting tests on straw types Composting tests on straw types Composting tests on straw types Composting Experiments Straw from Northern Ireland Conclusions – Part 1							
Laccase activity of composts	27						
Mushroom Cropping Procedure	27						

Results	28
Compost analysis	28
Measurement of cellulose and lignin	28
Laccase activity of composts	29
Conclusions – Part 2	29
Part 3: Effects of recycled water analysis on odours	34
Introduction	34
Materials and Methods	34
Collection of goody water samples	34
Analysis of goody water	35
Redox potential	35
Dissolved oxygen concentration	35
Conductivity and pH	35
Chemical analysis	35
Analysis of sulphite reducing bacteria	35
Analysis of air surrounding goody water	36
Odour sampling	36
Olfactometry (Odour panel analysis)	36
Gas Chromatography-Mass Spectrometry analysis	36
Gas detector tubes	37
Electronic sulphide analyser	37
Preparation of composts from goody water samples	37
Results	37
Chemical and microbial analysis of goody water samples	37
Analysis of air samples from goody water pits	37
Conclusions - Part 3	38
TECHNOLOGY TRANSFER	42
Publications and Presentations resulting from the project	42
References	42

# **Consortium members:**

Warwick HRI

Department of Agriculture and Rural Development for Northern Ireland

Institute of Grassland and Environmental Research

Horticultural Development Council

Mushroom Growers' Association

Blue Prince Mushrooms Ltd

Carbury Mushrooms Ltd

Custom Compost Ltd

Greenhill Compost Ltd

J. Rothwell & Son Ltd

James A. Gooding Ltd

McGeary's Mushroom Compost

Monaghan Mushrooms Ltd

Shackleford Mushrooms Ltd

Tandragee Compost

Tunnel Tech Ltd

JEOL (UK) Ltd

Casella eti

Triton Technology Ltd

# **Government Sponsors**

Department for the Environment, Food and Rural Affairs

Department of Agriculture and Rural Affairs for Northern Ireland

# **GROWER SUMMARY**

## **Background and Objectives of the Work**

Processing time is a major factor influencing the cost of compost production. In particular, the rate of degradation to produce a suitable compost (in aerated or windrow Phase I), and the subsequent colonisation of the compost with mushroom mycelium in expensive spawn-running facilties (Phase III). Identification of the factors that influence the mushroom mycelial colonization of compost could lead to a significant reduction in spawn-running time and associated capital and operating costs.

Wheat straw used in preparing mushroom compost is highly variable in terms of degradability and the properties change during storage. This causes a significant problem in producing a consistent compost. However, the key physical and chemical properties of straw that relate to suitability for mushroom composting and subsequent cropping have not yet been identified.

The mushroom industry is under environmetal pressure due to its odour emissions. If environmentally unacceptable levels of smell are to be eliminated, the use of recycled run-off or 'goody' water and watering management needs to be improved. Determining the relevant chemical and microbial properties of recycled water, and influences of water treatment, could lead to a significant reduction in the odours from mushroom composting and water storage facilities. Recycled water quality and management also have a critical effects on the efficiency of the composting process and subsequent mushroom growth.

01 Determine the chemical and physical composition of straw that influence compost degradation and subsequent mushroom mycelium growth (spawn-running) and cropping.

02 Identify compost factors that can be manipulated to reduce spawn-running times from those currently found commercially by at least 10% (current average is 16 days).

03 Determine the effects of chemical and microbiological properties of recycled water on composting odours, sulphide emissions and compost quality, and identify improved methods of recycled water treatment and application.

04 On-site tests to adapt new methods for analysing straw, reducing spawn-running time, measuring odours and sulphide emissions, and managing water recycling and application in commercial-scale composting systems.

#### Summary of results and conclusions

Effects of straw analysis on compost

- Methods have been developed for measuring the chemical and physical properties of straw, its behaviour during composting, and subsequent mushroom growth on the compost. These methods will form the basis for further experimental work in the second and third years of the project, to determine whether the suitability of different types of wheat straw can be related to their properties.
- The chemical properties of straw were determined using Derivative thermogravimetry (DTG), Near Infra-Red Spectroscopy (NIR), as well as analytical procedures for measuring the contents of soluble carbohydrates, ash, and other components. These analyses showed significant differences between cultivars, and within the same cultivar grown at high and low N, and in stored and new season straw.

- The mechanical (tensile) properties of wheat straw were determined using two types of instrumentation. These were based on the Instron Universal testing machine and the Triton Technology dynamic mechanical analysis.
- Significant differences in mechanical properties were detected between wheat straw cultivars. However, temperature and moisture have a large influence on the mechanical properties of straw and the relative difference between samples.
- Different straw samples resulted in different levels of respiration and degradation during composting.
- The resultant composts produced from different straw samples resulted in different rates of colonisation by mushroom mycelium, and different mushroom yields in pot cropping tests.
- For laboratory and intermediate-scale composting tests in Year 2 of the project, straw samples from different wheat cultivars grown with and without fungicide and plant growth regulators have been obtained from six sites in England and Northern Ireland.

Reducing spawn-running time

- Compost temperature was not a reliable indicator of spawn-running since drier composts tended to increase in temperature greater and faster than moister composts.
- Compost pH fell during spawn-running, but was not indicative of the amount of mushroom mycelium due to differences in the initial compost pH.
- Methods were developed for measuring the colonisation rate of mushroom mycelium in compost using growth tubes.
- The optimum moisture content of pasteurised compost for mycelial growth rate was 72-73%.
- Compost pH, and nitrogen, ammonium N and ash did not affect mycelial growth rate within the ranges of values tested.
- A method based on an oxygen electrode was successfully used to detect the presence of laccase produced by mushroom mycelium and the difference between spawn-run (Phase III) and pasteurised compost (Phase II).
- The laccase method will be further examined in Year 2 of the project to determine if it can reliably measure the amount of mushroom mycelium produced on different compost samples during spawn-running.

Effects of recycled water analysis on odours

- Techniques were developed for measuring the chemical, microbial and odour properties of recycled goody water in storage pits.
- Redox potential was found to be better indication of the oxygen demand of goody water samples since a dissolved oxygen meter could not discriminate between several samples which had zero values, but had differing Redox potentials and odours.
- Goody water samples with a lower Redox potential tended to produce more volatile sulphides and were more odorous than samples with a less negative Redox potential.
- There were also wide ranges in the dry matter content, pH, conductivity, sulphite reducing bacteria and chemical analysis of goody water samples obtained from different sites.
- Composts prepared from the most anaerobic goody water samples (lowest Redox potentials) also produced the most volatile sulphides and strongest odours during subsequent composting.

# **Project Milestones**

Mile- stone	- Target Date	Primary Milestone						
1.1	9 months	Methods developed for the physical and chemical analysis of wheat straw obtained from experimental field sites, and of defined age, variety, N fertiliser regime, soil type, PGR application (HRI, IGER, DARD, Triton Technology)						
2.1	9 months	Laboratory methods developed for mycelial growth rate and extracellular laccase on compost samples (HRI)						
1.2	12 months	Straw samples from the experimental sites covering a range of cultivars, ages, and growing localities (soil types, N fertiliser regimes, PGR application) analysed using the above methods and related to biodegradability, mushroom mycelial growth and cropping (laboratory scale (HRI, DARD, IGER, Triton)						
3.1	12 months	Techniques developed for determining the microbial community structure, chemical composition and biological oxygen demand of recycled water samples, on their own and in laboratory-scale composting tests (HRI, IGER, Jeol)						
2.2	15 months	Mushroom mycelial colonisation of compost related to physical and chemical analyses of compost (laboratory scale) (HRI, IGER, DARD, Commercial composters)						
3.2	18 months	Odour panel and sulphide measurements on air surrounding recycled water samples related to the analyses in 3.1 (HRI, IGER, Jeol, Casella, Commercial composters)						
1.3	24 months	Biodegradation rate, mushroom spawn-running and cropping using straw batches and types obtained from the experimental field sites related to key properties identified in 1.2 tested in intermediate-scale facilities (HRI, DARD, Triton, Commercial composters)						
3.3	24 months	Effects of methods of water treatment on odour and sulphides determined on commercial sites (HRI, DARD, Casella, Commercial composters)						
2.3	27 months	Spawn-running time and mushroom cropping related to key factors identified in 2.2, intermediate-scale facilities (HRI, DARD, Commercial composters)						
4.1	34 months	Effects of wheat straw batches from well-defined sources (cultivar, soil type, fertiliser and pesticide/ PGR applications determined in large-scale experiments (HRI, DARD, Triton, Commercial composters)						
4.2	34 months	The effects of new composting formulations and composting methods on spawn- running time will be determined in large-scale facilities (HRI, DARD, IGER, Comm. composters)						
4.3	34 months	Methods for measuring and controlling application of recycled water and effects on odours and sulphides tested on commercial sites (HRI, DARD, IGER, Jeol, Casella, Commercial composters)						
4.4	36 months	Drafting of user guidelines on improved composting procedures (all partners).						

Mile	- Target	Secondary Milestone							
1.4	3 months	Arrange supply of straw samples from experimental field sites (HRI, DARD, IGER)							
3.4	6 months	Arrange commercial sites for sampling recycled water and air odour samples (HRI, IGER, Commercial composters)							
3.5	9 months	Test electronic sulphide analysers on laboratory air samples (HRI, Casella, Commercial composters)							
1.5	12 months	Barley and rape straw samples tested for physical, chemical and biodegradability analyses developed in 1.1 and 1.2 (HRI, DARD).							
2.4	18 months	Recycled water samples used in bench-scale composting experiments to determine relationships between recycled water analysis and mycelial growth and mushroom cropping (HRI, IGER)							
2.5	24 months	Test effect of different mushroom strains and substrate supplement treatments, in combination with new substrates, on mushroom spawn-running time (HRI, DARD).							
4.5	24 months	Effects of recycled water analysis on mushroom spawn-running and cropping letermined in intermediate-scale tests (HRI, IGER, Commercial composters)							
3.6	24 months	Arrange industry sites for large-scale experiments (HRI, DARD, Commercial composters)							
4.6	27 months T s	Techniques for measuring and controlled application of recycled water tested in large- cale systems (HRI, DARD, Commercial composters)							
4.7	34 months	Consortium to agree on draft guidelines (all partners)							

Primary milestones 1.1, 2.1 and 3.1 and secondary milestones 1.4, 3.4, 3.5 have been achieved within the specified timescales. Primary milestones 1.2 and 1.5 will take 3 months longer than anticipated due to seasonality of straw production. The timescale for the remaining milestones appears to be reasonable.

# **SCIENCE SECTION**

#### Part 1: Effects of straw analysis on compost degradation and mushroom cropping.

#### Introduction

Wheat straw used in preparing mushroom compost is highly variable in terms of degradability and the properties change during storage. This causes a significant problem in producing a consistent compost. However, the key physical and chemical properties of straw that relate to suitability for mushroom composting and subsequent cropping have not yet been identified.

The biodegradability of straw in composting has been estimated from its chemical composition using determinations of hot ethanol dry matter, ash, neutral detergent fibre, cellulose, hemicellulose, lignin, and derivative thermogravimetry and near-infra red spectroscopy (Chaleaux et al 1991, Savoie et al 1992, Lyons et al 2000). Wheat cultivars are known to differ with respect to the physical stiffness of their straw (Anon 2002). However, no previous attempts have been made to relate the physical and chemical properties of straw to its suitability for mushroom composting and subsequent cropping.

The previous HortLINK project on mushroom composting odours showed that up to 30% of rape straw could be used to substitute the standard wheat straw. Rape straw and barely straw (in horse manure) are now used commercially by most mushroom composters in the UK, to augment wheat straw supplies.

The aim of this work is to identify the best sustainable sources of straw for mushroom composting, and to adapt the composting process to better exploit the diversity and unpredictability of straw supplies.

The following hypotheses will be tested:

• Is straw biodegradability in composting related to its physical and/or chemical properties? (previous work at DARD indicated that the biodegradability of wheat straw samples in composting is related to the enzymatic digestion of their dry matter in a hemicellulase-cellulase bioassay, modified from Sharma et al 2000)

- Is the biodegradability of straw in composting related to its subsequent suitability for mushroom mycelial growth and cropping?
- Can the biodegradability and suitability of straw be related to its cultural source?

## Materials and Methods

#### Chemical analyses of straw samples

Straw samples were analysed for pH, electrical conductivity, dry matter content, total soluble carbohydrates (TSC), total soluble polyphenol (TSP), and ash. Straw samples were also analysed using derivative thermogravimetry (DTG) and vis-Near Infrared Spectroscopy (NIR) according to the methods outlined below.

#### Thermogravimetric analysis (DTG)

Wheat straw samples were ground in a Cyclotec mill (Foss) to pass through a 0.5mm screen. Material for analysis (3 - 3.2 mg) was taken by transferring 10-15 small sub-samples into an alumina crucible. All samples were weighed accurately on a Mettler MT5 microbalance. Thermogravimetric analysis was undertaken on a Mettler Toledo TGA/SDTA851 Thermal Analysis System, equipped with an autosampler and TSO801RO sample robot. Three replicates were analysed for each sample. A PC running STAR software (Mettler Toledo) controlled the system. Analysis was by a standard dynamic method. Samples were heated in a furnace from

 $32 - 600^{\circ}$ C at a heating rate of 20°C min<sup>-1</sup>. Sample weight loss was measured against increase in temperature (or time). Compressed air was passed through the furnace at a rate of 20ml min<sup>-1</sup> to aid combustion and to flush out combustion products to stop secondary reactions. Thermogravimetric curves (thermograms) for each sample were evaluated using macros created in the STAR software. Data collected for each sample consisted of measurement of peak weight loss, height, width, temperature, and peak area for the major combustion products present on the thermograms. Data was measured using the thermogravimetric curve and also its first derivative (*dw/dt*). The latter technique is referred to as Derivative Thermogravimetry (DTG).

## *Vis-Near Infrared spectroscopy (NIR)*

Eight subsamples were taken from each wheat straw sample. The pieces of straw were cut into lengths of between 3-4 cm and each was in turn packed into a Natural Product Cup and scanned on a Vis-NIR spectrometer (Foss NIRS 6500). Spectral reference data was recorded 64 times at 2 nm intervals for each scan, over the range 400-2498 nm and the 8 sub-sample scans were averaged to produce 1050 data points. A bandpath of 10 nm was used with a wavelength accuracy of 0.5 nm. Reflectance readings were converted to absorbance and the data was collected and analysed using WINISI software (Foss). Vis-NIR data was analysed using the Partial Least Squares method to determine relationships between samples and chemical data.

## Pesticide Analysis (GC-MS)

An acetone (100 ml) extraction of the straw sub-samples (10g) was accompanied by further maceration, which facilitated a more complete extraction. Anhydrous sodium sulfate was added to remove any water from the sample. The sample was concentrated by rotary evaporation prior to clean-up of the sample. Interfering material was removed from the extract by gel permeation chromatography (GPC). Post GPC the extract was again concentrated to 1 ml in order to achieve the reporting levels required. Samples were analysed using gas chromatography-mass spectrometry (GC-MS). Calibration curves were generated for each of the pesticides sought using standards and a best-fit line was calculated by linear regression analysis.

Physical analyses of straw samples

#### Tensile strength tests

Tensile tests were conducted with an Instron universal testing machine (model 4301, Instron Ltd, High Wycombe, UK) at 20 C and 100% relative humidity. The tensile tests were conducted according to the methods of Andrews et al (2002). Strips of internodal straw were cut to 40 x 2 mm. Each individual strip was mounted using double-sided adhesive tape on to acetate templates. The strips were then placed across the pneumatic jaws on the Instron testing machine. The strips were then stretched at a rate of 1 mm/min until tissue failure. Measurements of increasing load (N) and displacement (mm) were used to calculate the stress (force or load per cross sectional area) and strain (displacement divided by original length).

# Dynamic mechanical analysis of straw

The dynamic modulus (stiffness) was also determined using a dynamic testing machine at Triton Technology. Straw strip samples were prepared in the same way as for the Instron testing machine. The tests were conducted both in air at 100% relative humidity and immersed in water, at temperatures from 20 to 80 C.

#### Composting tests on straw types

## Bench-scale composting equipment

Wheat straw samples (600 g) were mixed with 200 g of sieved poultry manure, 4 g urea, 25 g gypsum and 2 litres of water. The ingredients were mixed at daily intervals in bins for 3 days. The mixed substrate ingredients were composted in 'Quickfit' multiadapter flasks immersed in thermostatically controlled water baths, each holding two 10-litre flasks (Noble *et al*, 1997). The prepared ingredients (about 2 kg samples) were placed on a perforated stainless steel platform within each flask and the flasks immersed in the waterbaths such that the water level was above the level of the enclosed substrate. Each flask was connected to ancillary equipment providing independent aeration of the compost. The oxygen concentration in the substrate was controlled regularly by adjusting the airflow through the compost in each flask within the range 0 - 16 litres kg<sup>-1</sup> substrate h<sup>-1</sup> by means of flow meters. The temperature of the substrate in the flasks was monitored with Squirrel multipoint temperature loggers (Grant Instruments Ltd, Cambridge, UK).

For the first 48 h of the composting process, the thermostat of the waterbath was set at 48°C to allow a natural succession and gradual build-up of microorganisms. The substrate temperature was then increased to 72°C for 5 days, after which the substrate was re-mixed and the temperature reduced to 47°C for the remainder of the composting period, which was seven days, or prolonged until the air in the flask was clear of ammonia. An oxygen concentration of  $11(\pm 1.5)$  % v/v was maintained in the substrate.

# Compost analysis

Analyses were conducted on freeze-dried, finely milled samples of the compost ingredients and of the substrates before and after processing in the flask composting equipment. Dry matter (DM), N, ammonium ( $NH^+_4$ ) and ash contents and pH were determined as described previously (Noble and Gaze, 1994).

# Mushroom cropping procedure

At the end of the composting period, the material in each flask was weighed. After samples were taken for analysis, 1.2 kg of the residual material was inoculated with mushroom spawn (spawned) at two percent of the fresh weight of compost with *Agaricus bisporus* spawn (Hauser A15) and filled into plastic pots, 230 mm diameter x 220 mm depth. The pots were placed in polythene bags in an incubator at 25°C and when the substrate was fully colonised with mushroom mycelium, about 15 days after spawning, the containers were cased with a moist mixture of peat and sugar beet lime (900 g). When mushroom mycelium was visible on the surface of the casing, the containers were transferred to a controlled environment chamber with an air temperature of 18°C, relative humidity of 90% and a CO<sub>2</sub> concentration of 0.1% to induce fruiting. Mushrooms were harvested daily over a 30 day period (cap diameter 25-30 mm).

# Experiments

# Wheat straw samples

The above methods were tested on the straw samples in Table 1. Two of the samples (cv. Claire) were grown at the Northern Ireland Plant Breeding Station at Crossnacreevy; the other five samples were grown at Wellesbourne, Warwicks.

Sample	Cultivar	Age,	Source	Growth	Harvest	Ν
-		months		regulator	method	fertiliser
						kg / ha
1	Claire	6	Crossnacreevy, NI	CCC	intact	100
2	Claire	6	Crossnacreevy, NI	CCC	intact	200
3	Hereward	6	Wellesbourne	none	chopped	standard
4	Hereward	18	Wellesbourne	none	chopped	standard
5	Weston	18	Wellesbourne	CCC	chopped	standard
6	Malacca	18	Wellesbourne	none	half cut	standard
7	Richmond	6	Wellesbourne	CCC	chopped	standard

#### Table 1. Wheat straw samples assessed

## Results

Chemical analyses of straw samples

Analyses are shown in Table 2. Variation exists in the chemical parameters assessed based on cultivar type and crop husbandry regimes. Straw from cultivars Claire (high N application) and Weston were the most alkaline. Cultivar Claire also produced straw with the highest conductivity, dry matter content and total soluble carbohydrates. Cultivars Weston and Malacca had the highest ash contents.

Sample	Cultivar, N	pН	EC	Dry	TSC	TSP	Ash
			uS/cm	matter, %	g/kg DM	g/kg DM	% of DM
1	Claire, low	7.87	598	95.3	12.4	3.5	4.4
2	Claire, high	8.97	627	95.6	11.8	3.6	4.6
3	Hereward	7.50	314	94.4	9.3	2.6	5.3
4	Hereward	7.87	318	93.2	9.5	2.4	5.4
5	Weston	8.96	469	94.0	7.9	2.7	7.6
6	Malacca	8.45	237	94.7	6.2	2.4	7.2
7	Richmond	8.11	337	94.3	7.8	2.4	6.3

Table 2. Wheat straw samples assessed

Derivative thermogravimetry analyses results are shown in Figures 1-3. Figure 1 depicting the holocellulose fraction indicates significant differences in the fibre fraction of all samples analyses by DTG. Highest values were quantified in the cultivar Claire grown in Northern Ireland. Similarly in Figure 2 significant differences in the structural hemicellulose and lignin fractions are evident. Figure 3 shows the derivative thermograms of three of the wheat straw cultivars – Claire, Hereward and Richmond. Weight loss 1 profiles indicate the two UK cultivars to be similar but distinct from the cultivar Claire. Additional analyses from the 2004 samples will confirm if this is a consistent difference. The shape of the thermogram profiles provides additional information on the proportional balance between the structural hemicellulose and lignin fractions of the samples. The influence of PGR on cultivars Claire and Richmond are exhibited as greater degree of lignification (WL2) on the thermal profile. The NIR raw and transformed spectra of all 7 samples are shown in Figures 4a and 4b respectively. NIR analysis indicates chemical differences between samples with GB samples differing significantly from those of NI origin. Good correlation has been shown between the NIR spectral characteristics and the fibre fractions as measured by DTG (Figure 5).

Figure 1 Hollocellulose fraction weight loss at peak 1 for all 7 straw samples.

# DTG



# *P* <0.001



Figure 2 Structural hemicellulose and lignin fractions weight loss at peak 2 for all 7 straw samples.

# DTG



# *P* <0.001



# **Derivative thermograms of 3 of the straw cultivars**



Figure 4a - Raw Spectra

# NIR



Figure 4b – Transformed spectra



# Figure 5 -



# NIR Score plot for 7 straw









Figure 7b cv Richmond TanD







Figure 8 a Dynamic mechanical properties of straw- Youngs Modulus of Elasticity cultivars Weston, Richmond and Malacca Samples tested standard air oven









# Figure 9. Carbon dioxide concentration during composting of straw samples 1 to 7



Pesticides detected in the straw samples were restricted, at most, to single fungicides (Table 3). These reflect the different fungicides used in NI (fenpropimorph) and Warwicks. (tebuconazole). No plant growth regulator residues were detected.

Sample	Cultivar	Pesticide detected	Level found, mg/kg
			8
1	Claire, low N	fenpropimorph	0.02
2	Claire, high N	fenpropimorph	0.03
3	Hereward	tebucanazole	0.04
4	Hereward	tebucanazole	0.10
5	Weston	tebuconazole	0.15
6	Malacca	tebuconazole	0.07
7	Richmond	none	-

#### Table 3. Fungicide residues detected in straw samples

Physical analyses of straw samples

#### *Tensile strength tests*

Typical force displacement tests results from the Instron testing machine are shown in Figure 6. The slope is a measure of stiffness (Young's modulus) of the straw, with a steeper slope indicating greater stiffness. The strain at the maximum load (about 10N) is an indication of the 'strechability' of the straw sample. Most of the straw samples did not fail at the maximum load applied (10N). An example of tissue failure is shown in Figure 6.

The results in Table 4 show clear differences between the samples. Cultivar Richmond had the greatest Young's modulus (stiffness) and was also the least stretchable. Addition of extra N fertiliser to cultivar Claire resulted in stiffer straw. Storage of cultivar Hereward resulted in a loss in stiffness.

Table 4. Mechanical properties of wheat straw samples measured with an Instron at room temperature

Sample	Cultivar	Instron Tensile Tests					
		Strain at max.	Young's				
		load, (mm/m)	modulus, MPa				
1	Claire, low N	700	921				
2	Claire, high N	960	1034				
3	Hereward	980	1065				
4	Hereward	780	846				
5	Weston	620	883				
6	Malacca	760	1046				
7	Richmond	350	1446				

## Dynamic mechanical analysis of straw

Results obtained at a range of temperatures for three straw samples are shown in Figures 7 and 8. These are expressed as Young's modulus (stiffness) and tan delta (a measure of the energy lost by the straw during the test). The results show that temperature and straw moisture have large effects on the mechanical properties, and can alter the relative differences between straw types. Values obtained at 50 C for the different samples are shown in Table 5. The results show that the differences between the samples depended on the test conditions – dry, wet (100% RH) or immersed. Under dry conditions, cultivar Weston was the stiffest whereas under wet or immersed conditions, cultivar Malacca was the stiffest. Differences in energy loss (Tan D) between the samples also depended on the moisture condition of the test samples. Under moist

conditions, the order was Weston (greatest), Malacca, Richmond (smallest). Under dry conditions, the order was Richmond (greatest), Weston, Malacca (smallest).

Sample	Cultivar	ivar Young's modulus, Mpa Energy (tan de				y loss elta)	
		dry	wet	immersed	dry	wet	immersed
5	Weston	52	17	52	0.08	0.27	0.27
6	Malacca	38	34	65	0.07	0.24	0.23
7	Richmond	29	34	30	0.13	0.19	0.22

Table 5. Mechanical properties of wheat straw samples measured by Triton at 50 C

Composting tests on straw types

Carbon dioxide measurements during flask composting are shown in Figure 9 and Table 6. Cultivar Claire (straw samples 1 and 2) resulted in a higher carbon dioxide evolution than cultivar Weston (straw sample 7). Carbon losses during composting, measured by initial and final ash content, were highest in cultivars Claire and stored Hereward, and lowest in cultivar Malacca (Table 6).

Table 6. Carbon and carbon dioxide losses during composting of different straw samples

Sample	Cultivar	Carbon dioxide	Carbon loss,
		loss, g/kg compost	g/kg compost
1	Claire, low N	31.0	38.8
2	Claire, high N	43.5	31.5
3	Hereward	24.6	25.4
4	Hereward	27.2	39.6
5	Weston	23.2	26.0
6	Malacca	26.0	14.5
7	Richmond	31.7	26.2

Mushroom mycelial growth and cropping

Mushroom mycelial growth and pot experiments yields from compost prepared from the seven straw batches are shown in Table 7. Differences in mycelial growth between the samples were small. The highest mushroom yields were obtained from compost made from wheat cultivars Claire (low N application) and Malacca. Compost made from the stored hereward straw produced the lowest yield.

Table 7. Mushroom	mycelial	growth	and	cropping	in po	t tests	from	composts	prepared	from
different straw sampl	les									

Sample	Cultivar	Mycelial growth mm/day	Mushroom yield, g/kg compost DM
1	Claire, low N	4.6	689
2	Claire, high N	5.1	414
3	Hereward	4.7	442
4	Hereward	4.3	328
5	Weston	5.0	371
6	Malacca	4.4	635
7	Richmond	4.5	354

## **Straw samples for 2004 Composting Experiments**

Straw from Wellesbourne and Kirton

Wheat straw cultivars, plant growth regulator (CCC) application and growing locality and year of straw for 2004 composting and analytical tests are shown in Table 8. These show a total of six wheat cultivars from the Wellesbourne and Kirton sites. Two cultivars were grown with and without PGR. New season and stored straw was obtained for three cultivars. All the samples were grown with fungicide (tebuconazole).

Sample	Cultivar	Age,	Source	Growth
		months		regulator
1	Hereward	3	Wellesbourne	CCC
2	Hereward	3	Wellesbourne	none
3	Hereward	15	Wellesbourne	none
4	Hereward	27	Wellesbourne	none
5	Weston	15	Wellesbourne	CCC
6	Malacca	3	Wellesbourne	none
7	Malacca	3	Wellesbourne	CCC
8	Malacca	15	Wellesbourne	none
9	Richmond	3	Wellesbourne	CCC
10	Richmond	15	Wellesbourne	CCC
11	Tanker	3	Kirton	CCC
12	Axona	3	Kirton	none
13	Axona	3	Kirton	CCC

Table 8. Wheat straw samples from Wellesbourne and Kirton for 2004 composting tests

Wheat straw samples, plant growth regulator, and nitrogen application treatments for Northern Ireland straw samples are shown in Table 9. Ten wheat cultivars were grown on three sites. Some of the cultivars were grown with and without PGR and fungicide, and two (Richmond and Tanker) are common to those grown in England. The wheat cultivars cover a range in straw length and strength characteristics.

#	Variety	Year	F	PGR	SITE		Var #	Trial ID									
									38	Claire	2004	+	+	LIM	CEL	101	WW04NI35T
11	Claire	2004	+	+	DownP	DARD	101	WW04NI12T	42	Malacca	2004	+	+	LIM	CEL	103	WW04NI35T
13	Malacca	2004	+	+	DownP	DARD	103	WW04NI12T	33	Option	2004	+	+	LIM	CEL	104	WW04NI35T
32	Option	2004	+	+	DownP	DARD	104	WW04NI12T	34	Tanker	2004	+	+	LIM	CEL	105	WW04NI35T
9	Tanker	2004	+	+	DownP	DARD	105	WW04NI12T	30	Napier	2004	+	+	LIM	CEL	106	WW04NI35T
29	Napier	2004	+	+	DownP	DARD	106	WW04NI12T	24	Access	2004	+	+	LIM	CEL	111	WW04NI35T
23	Access	2004	+	+	DownP	DARD	111	WW04NI12T	21	Deben	2004	+	+	LIM	CEL	112	WW04NI35T
20	Deben	2004	+	+	DownP	DARD	112	WW04NI12T	18	Richmond	2004	+	+	LIM	CEL	115	WW04NI35T
17	Richmond	2004	+	+	DownP	DARD	115	WW04NI12T	46	Einstein	2004	+	+	LIM	CEL	117	WW04NI35T
15	Einstein	2004	+	+	DownP	DARD	117	WW04NI12T	27	Robigus	2004	+	+	LIM	CEL	118	WW04NI35T
26	Robigus	2004	+	+	DownP	DARD	118	WW04NI12T									
									41	Claire	2004	-	-	LIM	DARD	101	WW04NI10U
10	Claire	2004	+	+	Cross	DARD	101	WW04NIO9T	45	Malacca	2004	-	-	LIM	DARD	103	WW04NI10U
12	Malacca	2004	+	+	Cross	DARD	103	WW04NIO9T	37	Tanker	2004	-	-	LIM	DARD	105	WW04NI10U
31	Option	2004	+	+	Cross	DARD	104	WW04NIO9T	49	Einstein	2004	-	-	LIM	DARD	117	WW04NI10U
8	Tanker	2004	+	+	Cross	DARD	105	WW04NIO9T									
28	Napier	2004	+	+	Cross	DARD	106	WW04NIO9T	40	Claire	2004	-	+	LIM	CEL	101	WW04NI35U
22	Access	2004	+	+	Cross	DARD	111	WW04NIO9T	44	Malacca	2004	-	+	LIM	CEL	103	WW04NI35U
19	Deben	2004	+	+	Cross	DARD	112	WW04NIO9T	36	Tanker	2004	-	+	LIM	CEL	105	WW04NI35U
16	Richmond	2004	+	+	Cross	DARD	115	WW04NIO9T	48	Einstein	2004	-	+	LIM	CEL	117	WW04NI35U
14	Einstein	2004	+	+	Cross	DARD	117	WW04NIO9T									
25	Robigus	2004	+	+	Cross	DARD	118	WW04NIO9T	39	Claire	2004	+	-	LIM	CEL	101	WW04NI35L
ι				1					43	Malacca	2004	+	-	LIM	CEL	103	WW04NI35L
									35	Tanker	2004	+	-	LIM	CEL	105	WW04NI35L

Table 9. Wheat straw samples from Northern Ireland for 2004 composting tests

# Variety Year F PGR SITE Var #	Trial ID
---------------------------------	----------

47 Einstein

2004

 $^+$ 

-

LIM

CEL

117

WW04NI35L

# **Conclusions Part 1**

- 1. Methods have been developed for measuring the chemical and physical properties of straw, its behaviour during composting, and subsequent mushroom growth on the compost. These methods will form the basis for further experimental work in the second and third years of the project, to determine whether the suitability of different types of wheat straw can be related to their properties.
- 2. The chemical properties of straw were determined using Derivative thermogravimetry (DTG), Near Infra-Red Spectroscopy (NIR), as well as analytical procedures for measuring the contents of soluble carbohydrates, ash, and other components. These analyses showed significant differences between cultivars, and within the same cultivar grown at high and low N, and in stored and new season straw.
- 3. The mechanical (tensile) properties of wheat straw were determined using two types of instrumentation. These are based on the Instron Universal testing machine and the Triton Technology dynamic mechanical analysis.
- 4. Significant differences in mechanical properties were detected between wheat straw cultivars. However, results at Triton Technology showed that the test conditions (temperature and moisture) have a large influence on the mechanical properties of straw.
- 5. Different straw samples resulted in different levels of respiration and degradation during composting.
- 6. The resultant composts produced from different straw samples resulted in different rates of colonisation by mushroom mycelium, and different mushroom yields in pot cropping tests.
- 7. For laboratory and intermediate-scale composting tests in Year 2 of the project, straw samples from different wheat cultivars grown with and without fungicide and PGR have been obtained from six sites in England and Northern Ireland.

# Part 2. Reducing spawn-running time.

Processing time is a major factor influencing the cost of compost production. In particular, the rate of degradation to produce a suitable compost (in aerated or windrow Phase I), and the subsequent colonisation of the compost with mushroom mycelium in expensive spawn-running facilties (Phase III). Previous compost research has focussed on the factors which affect mushroom yield, rather than the rate of mycelial colonisation, and these are not necessarily related (Flegg & Wood 1985, Smith et al 1995, Sharma et al 2000). Factors that have been shown to affect the rate of mycelial colonization of compost include moisture content, pH, ammonium N, salinity, temperature and CO<sub>2</sub> (Flegg & Wood 1985). Identification of the factors that influence the mushroom mycelial colonization of compost could lead to a significant reduction in spawn-running time and associated capital and operating costs. The following hypotheses will be tested:

- Can extracellular laccase be used as a measure of mycelial growth in different compost samples?
- Is the rate of mycelial growth in compost related to its chemical analysis?
- Can the rate of spawn-running be improved by modifying the compost?

# Materials and Methods

# Composting procedure

Compost was prepared according to the procedure in Noble et al (1998). Straw bales were formed into windrows and wetted on day 0 without a pre-wetting procedure. Poultry manure was added on days 2 and 7, and water applications and windrow turns were made on alternate

days during a 16 day period. Water applications after day 10 were varied according to compost moisture content. Composts were prepared with moisture contents at filling of bulk pasteurisation tunnels of 70-80%.

Composts were pasteurised at 58 C for 6 hours and conditioned at 45-48 C. For the Phase II pasteurisation regime, the tunnels were filled with 2.5 t of material from the Phase I stage to a height of 0.9 - 1.1 depending on the ingredients. Following a 20 h equalisation of compost temperature at 45 - 48°C, the composts were pasteurised at 58 - 60°C for 6 h. Compost temperatures were then reduced to 46 - 49°C (conditioning). A minimum oxygen concentration of 13% was maintained during Phase II. Composting was completed when the compost temperature had fallen to within 1°C of the air temperature and ammonia could no longer be detected in the compost. Details of temperature and airflow control during Phase II are given in Noble & Gaze (1998).

#### Measurement of mycelial growth

A growth tube method, adapted from Smith et al (1995) was used for measuring linear mycelial growth in compost. Spawn (8 g, Sylvan A15) was filled in the end of a boiling tube which was then filled with 30 g of compost up to a mark 100 mm from the closed end. The position of the mycelial growth front was measured at 3-daily intervals until the compost in the tube was fully colonised by mycelium. Tubes filled with spawn and wetted fine grade vermiculite (30 g, moisture content 73% w/w) were used as control samples for each batch of tubes. The tubes were placed horizontally in an incubator at 25 C.

#### Compost analysis

Analyses were conducted on freeze-dried, finely milled samples of the compost ingredients and of the substrates before and after processing in the Phase II tunnels. Dry matter (DM), N, ammonium (NH<sup>+</sup><sub>4</sub>) and ash contents and pH were determined as described previously (Noble and Gaze, 1994). Derivative thermogravimetric analyses were conducted on the compost samples, similar to those conducted on the wheat straw samples (previously described).

#### Measurement of cellulose and lignin

The Ritter method (Rahn et al, 2002) was used for determining the cellulose and lignin contents of dried samples of the composts. Cellulose was determined by acid digestion and then determining the concentration of soluble carbohydrates that were formed.

Oven dried and milled compost samples (1 g) were refluxed with 10 ml of 72% sulphuric acid and 320 ml of distilled water for 2h. The samples were then vacuum filtered with a Buchner funnel and the residue collected in a GF/A filter paper. The filtrate was then analysed for soluble carbohydrates by addition of phenol and determining the resultant yellow coloration with a spectrophotometer (Rahn et al, 2002).

Lignin and ash were determined by first drying the filter papers and the burning off the lignin in a furnace at 400 C. The ash content was determined by subtraction of the ash of the filter paper.

#### *Laccase activity of composts*

The method of Wood & Goodenough (1977), modified by Smith et al (1989) was used for determining the laccase activity of composts. Compost samples (50 g) were agitated for 1 h at 20 C in 100 ml of 0.01 M phosphate buffer, pH 7.0. The coarse solid debris was removed by filtration using nylon gauze and the filtrate clarified by centrifugation at 12,000 rpm for 15 min at 20 C. The supernatant extract was then stored at -15 C until use.

The laccase activity of the thawed extract was determined polarographically using an oxygen electrode (Rank BrothersLtd, Cambridge). Samples (1.0 ml) of compost extract were mixed with 1.7 ml of sodium acetate-acetic acid buffer, 0.02 M, pH 5.0 in the electrode chamber. P-phenylenediamine (0.3 ml 0.1 M) was added to the chamber. The amount of oxygen consumed (related to the laccase activity) was recorded on a chart recorder. This was determined from the slope of the graph of time v oxygen concentration in the electrode cell.

Laccase determinations were conducted using 16-days spawn run compost (fully colonised by mushroom mycelium), unspawned pasteurised compost, and laccase obtained from Sigma (extracted from *Rhus vernificera*) was used as a control (1 mg per ml suspension). Three replicates samples were analysed. The laccase enzyme activity was determined from the calculation of Wood & Goodenough (1977) which showed that the consumption of 1 umol of oxygen consumed in the electrode was equivalent to 1 enzyme unit of activity in 1 ml of the extract

Spawn-running Temperatures and Mushroom Cropping Procedure

The cropping procedure is outlined in Noble & Gaze (1998). The composts were spawned using the Hauser A15 (Sylvan Spawn Ltd, Peterborough, UK) and 2100 (Amycel-UK Ltd, Burton-on-Trent, UK) strains. Spawned trays were stacked four high in cropping sheds. Air and compost temperatures during spawn-running were measured with electronic probes and data logger. Mushrooms were picked as large buttons (diameter 30-40mm) over a 24-days period (three flushes of mushrooms).

## Results

Temperatures during spawn-running

A typical profile of air and compost temperatures during spawn-running is shown in Figure 10. The following parameters were recorded:

(a) the maximum difference between air and compost temperatures

(b) the time taken to reach the maximum compost temperature.

The maximum difference between air and compost temperatures ranged from 5 to 18 C. This difference was generally greater in drier composts (Figure 11). There was no correlation between (a) and (b) above, or with any compost analysis factors (N, ammonium or ash content, pH) or mushroom yield.

Compost analysis

# Moisture, nitrogen and pH

Compost moisture, nitrogen, ammonium nitrogen, ash and pH are shown in Table 11. Compost Ph fell during spawn-running (Fig.12), but this depended on the initial compost pH, and the final pH was not indicative of the amount of mycelium in the compost.

#### Measurement of hollocellulose, cellulose and lignin.

Compost hollocellulose (DGT), cellulose and lignin are shown in Table 11. Analyses of the composts is continuing and correlations between the different compost factors and mycelial growth will be tested once the data is complete.

#### *Mycelial growth rate*

There was no relationship between mycelial growth rate in compost and mushroom yield (Figure 13). There was no effect of compost pH, ammonium nitrogen content, nitrogen content or ash content on mycelial growth rate (Figures 14 and 15). However, compost moisture

content had a significant effect on mycelial growth rate, with an optimum of about 73% at spawning (Figure 15).

# Laccase activity of composts

Chart recorder printouts showing the consumption of oxygen resulting from the presence of laccase in the extracts are shown in Figures 17-19. The addition of P-phenylenediamine to the compost extract in the oxygen electrode resulted in decrease in the oxygen concentration when laccase was present. This is shown by a slope in the chart recorder printout (Figures 17 and 18). Results for spawned compost and laccase suspension were consistent. Extracts from unspawned compost did not show a significant consumption of oxygen i.e. slope in the chart recorder printout (Figure 19; Table 10). The mean value obtained for spawn-run compost was similar to that obtained by Wood & Goodenough (1977) of 0.39 laccase enzyme units / ml extract.

Table 10. Laccase activity of compost extracts and Rhus laccase suspension

Sample	Laccase enzyme units / ml extract
Spawn-run compost	0.4709
Pasteurised unspawned compost	0.0092
Laccase suspension	0.1591

# **Conclusions Part 2**

- 1. Compost temperature was not a reliable indicator of spawn-running since drier composts tended to increase in temperature greater and faster than moister composts.
- 2. Methods were developed for measuring the colonisation rate of mushroom mycelium in compost using growth tubes.
- 3. Optimum moisture content of pasteurised compost for mycelial growth rate was 72-73%.
- 4. Compost pH, and nitrogen, ammonium N and ash did not affect mycelial growth rate within the range of values tested.
- 5. Compost pH declined during spawn-running, but final pH was not indicative of the amount of mycelium in the spawn-run compost.
- 6. A method based on an oxygen electrode was successfully used to detect laccase and the difference between spawn-run (Phase III) and pasteurised compost (Phase II).





Fig. 12 Relationship between compost moisture and spawn running temperature

Fig. 13 Mushroom mycelial growth rate and yield





Fig. 14 Relationship between compost pH and mycelial growth rate

Fig. 15 Relationship between total compost N and mycelial growth rate



Fig.16 Relationship between compost moisture and mycelial growth rate



Figure 17. Oxygen consumption in oxygen electrode following addition of laccase enzyme. The slope indicates the rate of oxygen consumption and hence laccase concentration.

											Ο										
11/2/2	taks	1	1	Lotte -	1- 2010 - 21	2495 (0)233 (23)	0.0000000000000	No. of the local sectors of th	2003100000		CONTRACTOR OF THE	10323/07/20	COLUMN TO A	0.0106.07	Classica de	1572/1515/23	STRUGGED ST	11/12/4382/08/0		A GREAT AND A	
Ref Plot to the		-	I TOROLOG	1	and the second s	A CONTRACTOR OF A CONTRACTOR OFTA CONTRACTOR O				and the second s				10000		1111000	1-1/1/10/10/10/10	14 10 10 10 10 10		14.100 MILL. 3	1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1
http://www.com	11.2	dine in		-					Contraction of the second s			in the second second				Contraction in the		10.00			
200-00-007	100	1. J.		Carbones States	1			Pastler aver		D2 SUSSER	1.			al and a second second			<u></u>		2.00.000		
52/11/21/52		97 (s)	1.1.1.110-		1.2.5.565)//	NA SAGAR	a second second	2023-000 J	ALC: NO.			100		Collection of the	1997-12-22-23			1.14	939 - C.	C. Margaret	
				Sector Sector		Spain 200	la containe			DATE OF	1999 No. 19	Contraction of the	K	1000		S. Contraction	a literation	1000002	No.el State	Distance and	
10000000	200	19.000	U.S. Shows and	12 19 19 19 19		100000	Design of the	10000	(A) 32 G (128)	2.00	1994 P 1996	1. S.	12122	a constant of the	Name of Street, Street	1000	1317-12 (A. 16)	111111		Saves Mary P	10000
	27	104470	1000	a second second		1	NON COMPANY	5115 ( S.			1.						100000000	Sector Sector	SS 02452.2	1100 000000	Contraction of the
		-				1				121220	111111111111		al Clean Address				0.0100000000	11111	1	1111111111	
dia and	2010			1		and the second second		and the second	a hard a start of the	100 million 100		- management	-	- Harrison and the							Section 200
6.3		1	1	NewYork	1.	1					1		No. of the second	Constant Providence		-5-3					
1.000		1011		1.2		100 2000	10 St. 10 St. 10	0.57712-66		CINCONS		1.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2	a secular second	1000		2200	Statistics.				
10000		21.205		1.000	10.435.2024	1.05					12.828562	120000		21. 200	Contraction of the	Sector Aller	133200			1000	1510-1413-14
GREDNAN S	723	100	A CONTRACTOR	1	No. March 19		12325-0224	chile milene	10101753022	1.	155783176355	1	0.3335555			Contraction (	States and	and a state		Section 2.	States of the
- and the product of the last		201.35		1		1	1.		100/00/02/02	State and	and the second second	1.001/07/090	A COLUMN TRANSFORME	C. CONTRACTOR	100.000		Manager and State	Strate Local	We have a second	000001000000	CONSTRUCTION OF
		1				1		Constant of the local division of the	Contraction of the		and the second	and the star	1	1	in a second second second						
-	64 (da			10000000	6		P.S. AND		1	- Mariles	1.0.0		1 March 199				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		line of the second s		
11111	330	1.00		1	S. Contractor	1	1000	S.31-5777-243	2000			1.24A.0		DEC MUSI		111111		S		Sales and	
2000			C. C. C.	12.20		1000		3455 C	Strige Court	0.000	1.2.1.1.2.2.2.3	200 S. C.	1.36-23				-1	3,595/58/3	Constant and the	Distanti Sector	1.2.552.57
746500808		1.20		1	Same dense	1		South Colores		1000		1.0.37.000				ter series	Second Second		Contraction in the		
and the second s	7.57			1000	10000	1000	1		Section 2		Contraction of	10. 11. CA 1908		100000000000000000000000000000000000000		1000 C	Contraction of the	1.1	-	C CONSTRUCT OF	1
The state state state	-						the second second			Contraction of the local data	1000		100					and details	Action of	1.000	
1					÷								+			- P	and the second second	California			
1000	2.12	11.4	1.45° (250-6)		1		1.	2011 N 92 C 31	100	S. S. S. Marine	a la companya da companya d	Contraction of the second	from many			ALL AND DESCRIPTION		12.00			
26030228		2.77272	1.000		A STATE OF	100000000	1.		1	1.	Ser annent e		A TANK SA	L. automat	Charles and	1 m m	22.000.000.0000		distant from	Same Card	
	6.72			1.		1.125.00.1				1212/02/0	242 C 242		- Anna Cal	Colores and		S FIGSLERIES		Sec. 22.10		100 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 10	Sec. 2.
Sec. Sec.	373	1	1000	1	1	1	1	The second	1000	10000000		Landstation	the second				Second and	1.1.16.10.16		100 C	
55555	1000	1			- and the second	an interest	1	a second de server ande		de Otra	1.0-0-0-0-V	1	1	1		a straight		a la hanna a s	10.0000	- 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1	1000
		1	Accession in the						a she half	and the second second	· · · ·								1.00		
and the second s		a second	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				in mine	- month	BREAK T								mannen	and the state of t		-	
510000000		1000	1000		1	1	- ANTON	All Secondary			a particular	Contraction of the second					Collection of the				
200		1.55		La constante		Landersel	COLOR STREET		a dente de	10000	12/2010					1000		contractions	E. State		1000
	200	100	Contraction of	Later and REG	A COLORINA		100000	1000		1.	1.		1	1.4.4.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1	15 15 TO	1.2.1.1.1.1.1			A CONTRACTOR		
Store Street	2.5	1 2012		and the second second	CONSTRUCTION OF	1			ESTRATION AND	100		1	1		130 a 10 a	Second Sec.	1976 BAR	1201	1000		
01		-			j	1							÷		1000000000	-01	(ASI-CONTROL				Sector Contracts
Party of the local division of the local div	-	a liter	24	10000					and see and	Provide states	in any services		1	THE REAL PROPERTY OF		2 (1) (1) (1) (1) (1)		110.00			11.1
100000000000000000000000000000000000000		1.200		1		· ····································		minun	in a starter			- in second second									
100000		1.00	La de la composición de la com	1986-146-898		2175-24	1		1. 63. 5	1.			1			l	0	Delegation of the			
1006 57050			17.	1			1	10.00			1000		1	100 C	0.000	CONTROL &		851. S. C. S. C. S. C.	Service Service		and the state
CANCERSION	8. K.	1	Rear Wheel		1	1.50		10.12	1.50	Call States	N. C. MARK	1	10000	in the second second	1.1.2/2/Sal		10000000000	SALES CAR		180023	i kutelesii
2000000000	1000	1	Error (CON)	1	1	1	1		10000	12200	1.000	-	1	1	1	a statistica da	(received)	Sector Sector	A CONTRACTOR OF A		
		1	£						1000						11222	1	Income to the set		1.		and the second se
	126	100			Denner	<b>.</b>				Strates Contraction	1		f					and the second second			
1012300.00	199	1	1 4 4	· · · · ·	1		<u>.</u>			2010-05-06	L	1.0120.020	1	1772 - A	the second	1.			and the		
1919-05-141		1		1	1	Design of the	1	1	1000		Mar State	100000	120.000	C. C	12. 194.072	1.000	AND REPORT				SUNCTION
10000000		30.53	1.0	1	1.77253.05		100	-	O(nES DASsa	K Carlos	124		1	12360	1202027288	100	2000	State of the second	Receiver a	Concern Concern	
0,		T	1	1		1	1 300	0,	S. C. S.	1.000	1000	1			12210	10,000	States and	Philipping and the	E.	1	
denter the best of		1	1				1	1.000		1000			1.14 1.11				Example 1	10000	Contraction (Contraction)	100000000000000000000000000000000000000	
distant and	622		8-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1	and the second second	- An income	1	and the second second		a second second second	and the second second	Fisher President	a she was a second as	1	and the second second	Section Section	and the second	Concernance of	1733049898(FS		CONTRACTOR OF	100 C

Figure 18. Oxygen consumption in pasteurised compost extract . The shallow slope indicates a low concentration of laccase.

C MARKA AND	2 4 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1. 1. 1. 1	Contraction of the second	1524.00	State 199	Contraction (Contraction)	Charles and		1.00	and the second	0.000	1						Same and the second	and the second
0.000000000			Sale a	12.00	0.000	Contact in the	Carl Control	A. 1. Store 10	(C15A) 0.048	one logite	2-33.00	Charles Service	Car States	No. 4 Contra	1000	20.000	14 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	CONTRACTOR OF	
						1			Contraction of the			10000	and the second	1	12150/01/07/05	1000	2020/02/020	second second in	1.
	1000									a marine of	en la			Nine Provident					
	22.00	1.20	Station of the	13.20		Para Indena	Contraction of the second	Section of the section							11. 12 A. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1.	D		1 SA 200 SA	
11-14-14-14-14	1000			and a sould			Sec. 1		1. 1. 1.	1.	1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1	Contraction of the		Section 1991	Section and the second	100		3. S. 6	1. 1. 1. 1.
	and the second second	and a second	1.000	1		C				1.						155 S. 10 S. 23		COMPANY STATE	1.2.2.2.2.2
de initiana		Contraction of the local division of the loc	1		1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1			and a second	a second s		and the second second	1				1000		11-11-11-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1	
	1.6.24	1.000	1.56	1	a second		1000	1000	and and share and	2	30 31 20 C 12	10000		1				the according to	
1999 (Sec.)	and a second	1	Contractor.	10 0002	100 M	ACTES NO.	Constant of the	- man in at	10.9892.0	10.22 2.40.2	1. Sec. 19.	1.	1000		Constant and the	12010	12.2252		C. C. S.
	and the search	1.1.1	-	1				STORE STORE	22000		Sector Cont	1	1.7.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1	128 9		1.	1000	College State	S-012245.5
			-	+				the same										1. Contraction of the local distance of the	
10 M 10 M	1.000	Constant Constant	A CONTRACTOR	1.000					114 31	S	and the second s	-		L	· ····································		- nie - in ander		- Andrewski Street
	1.2.2.1.1.1.1	1.2.2.2.1	12.25	State Delivery	11000	10000	545 A. 100 M			05/27/5/01/2	CARE THE PARTY	a the second		A DECK OF THE	the second second		1000	ee ee te te te te te	CONTRACTOR OF
	100000	1000		1.	and the factor	0.0000000000		1. Carlos 1. Car	1.1	1	100 C 100 T	1000		1.2024	200 - Contra 1	Sec. All		1.5.197.1/14.5	1000/100
	and and and a state	and so the second s					a man a marina					5.10N			11-01-01-01-0-0-0-0-0-0-0-0-0-0-0-0-0-0	100 Sec. 100 Sec. 100		1000	CHEST COLOR
		112.0100	1	1 (e) (c)		Contraction of the second	and meaning in	19 - Carlos			Second Street	1		an around are					
		Ellipsing and			1. 18 S. 10	Concertaine	10000	1000 C			and the first of	1.0.0	10000000	10	100 m			Sector And	1.
1.000		7023000	1				1000		TU,	A CONTRACTOR	1	Color Color	Test and	. And Bashing	and the second	Contra Contra	Stand Street St.	all "selling S	200 N 1816
	the second second					1000	CONTRACTOR OF				Section of the sectio	and the second	and the second second	1			100 M	NOTES STATES	100000000
	Carlo Carlo	10. Contraction		and a start		hand and a start		and the second second	Party and the state	and a state of the state of	-								
	100000000000000		And Collinson	A see handling	and the state of the	Carrier and	10000	ACCOUNTS A	1.00			1910 A.	1.	10.00		E.S. 197		Contraction of the	Sec. Const.
12 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1.	Contraction of	1 1	1. CO.	1.2	Distance Pro	1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1		10.000	0.000		1.500	1.	1.00	1.000	1.	and the second	1000-1000	1.
		the second second	- marine		10000			and reaction that			1000	1		100110000-000		1000	17	COTIC CLARKER	100 million (100 million)
	ACCOUNT OF	1000		4					and the second			¥		Contraction of the second				day to serve and	Carlos Carlos
	12.200	Contraction of the	ACCOUNT	1000	1. S.	->>> -> -> -> ->	0.000	1. 1. 2. 1. 1	19.20	1.2.2.2.2.1		and so and	1.	1		1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1		and the second	
	100/00/00		2015 ( 1926 ) .	10000	70.00	1		1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1	NA LOSAN		1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1	Costa - S	COLORS SEA	Section Constitution		1. Sec. 1. Sec. 1	and the second	10 S S 10 B	
the second second									CONTRACTOR OF	NAME AND AND AND		1 100 100	1	1	615.500 (015.500)			ALCON 1357	
the second	- Marian	2.42	1.			and realized in the		à					and the second second	i and	in the second			1000 C	
	1992 0493	2650757434	1 Second		12.00	200	1.174 5.125	1-192 - 1 - P	1. 1. 1. 1. 1.	A CONTRACT	CINC / Steller	1	1.0	1.0.1.0.00	1000 N. 100		Server Servers	19/2040/02	C
Second Street Street	CHARLES INC.	10000100000	1.12	1.000				Contraction of	1000		S. Martin	60228.00	S. S. M.S.	- United	St. 82.5744		Sec. 2. 19	1.0000000	
	O						f		+01		1.000	1.1.1.201923	The constants of the	1	402.000.200		Sector Sector	50: 51: 2 HO 8 HO	201257-223
	A			the second second						and the second	and the second s	the second second			100 M		and all a second		
1.11	ALC: NOTE:		1.1.1.1.1.1.1	10.000	Colored Same		Contraction (Contraction	a second	10.00000	Control of the	Construction of the	ATTEN STATE		a contractor	1. CA 1010	Sec.			1000
200.000		10.00		1.	1 2 4 2 4 3 4 2 5	2000000000	S. States	2007052020	Constant of	1998	1.12	1	10.00	100000			1.2.2.2.2.2	1. 25.6	10000
		Sec. and sec.	1000	I Charles a Con	NUMBER OF	COLORADA NO	1.		1	1.1.1	ALC: NUMBER	1.	10000	COEVIGE ST	11110110300	Sector Control	1000		
				1		10 AND		in the second	a line and a second								- <u></u>		
	10	Constant and	A Sugar Law					1.	21 27 20. 4		64.00 H (2023)	100 C							
	1000	100000000000000000000000000000000000000	CARRIES :		State Shirts	11123	19.10日時間	1.5	1000	1. C. S.	Con Carlo	1.221 余词数		Section Section		1.5. 1.5. 1	1.00000	100.000000	1000
	NR 12 20030	N192310000	0.11001.1100	1000	1	10.30.00	1.00	1000		6	10.20	1.7	1	S Starter	6326 C. C. C.	States and	1.1.1.1.2.2.4.1.2	10.010.000	
				Contraction of the		1.1.1	1.000					5.4070	1	1			COMPANYA / AVA	1.	1.2.500
		1002/002			1		Contraction of the local distance	· ····································				1	Contraction and	france and the			مانعادية سمدها		the second second
10.000	1.1.100.00	1 166 KG	1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1	1. 20	100 (20 M) (20 J	CAST COME	1. Siz 1625	2-20.00		8. S. (1997) (1997)	1000	1.000	C. Section 19	A Station	22 - 17 - 18 - 18 - 18 - 18 - 18 - 18 - 18	1208000	240 316 1.4	A2.00 (S.2.2)	649161438
1. A. C. A. C.	100000		Contraction of the	Carles Carles 7.	Contraction (Section 1996)	1.577.200		1 50 C 12/2			1 X.	6.977	Constant State	1.2.5.0	1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1	1000	S. Salara	and the second	1.000
	terrer la				·						i		1	1			olasio straina	1	Collins of the
	1.000	2.4.2.2.1	1	1	in the second		1	200			a served and as	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	and the second	<u></u>			and the second		F
1000	1	C. 32.58	Course and	STONESS:	10000 CO.	1000	Carlo La Carlo	Stand State	1	1.000 100 100 100		1.	1	1	A AGGEL	1	1.	1	1000
Salation of	Losson as	2265784	Company of the second		1	1.000				and the set		100 100		1.200 56				144.00 Sec. 1	1000000
	-		1	E COLUMN	C	1.1.1.1.1.1.1	NUR GENERAL	0.000	1	COLUMN THE OWNER	100	1	1	1000		Contraction and	1.111.11222	ALC: NOTE: NOTE:	
-	and the second second	and the second	2	de la companya de la			1		ŧ	P.2.1. 6122		ł		1 in the second	- Contention	8	1-17/10/00/00	1	1
201 TEN 1	1.000	1227.255	a see a secolar	1	1	El Saloritor	Benther and	Process States	1.00	1.1.1	1.000	1	10	100	SAC TRACTS	Contraction of the second		Sector Survey	<b></b>
13000		A STREET	Sec. Sec.	1	NR 1924	Since Same	ASK SUMP	States and	1906000	10.00	1.8	125.20	L	10000		Distant States		1996-0120	S Cherry
	1		1	1 1000	1	1	1	1.1.1	D. N. 6	Contraction (1995)	1	Contraction of	PSD SPEC	A Starting	CALCENCE CONTRACT	Contraction in the	C. Carlor	18 S. 18 S. 19	1 Carlos
-16-16-16-16-16-16-16-16-16-16-16-16-16-	- Andrewski -		1	10000000	1	1	1		1.		Sector Sector	1	1000	1		100.000	1000	Leave to All	1
	1	1. N. 199		1000	A	- marine in			1	1	the second second	1	1	the second	<u> </u>	la construction de la construcción de la construcci		1	170000
하는 아이들을 것을 수 없다.	1.23070.00%	<b>深</b> 深的 (4)	1. 262	1.500000	1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1	Contraction of the	Contraction and	March Com	Coar (1974)		1	CONTRACTOR OF	1.8. Z. S. 8. Z.		1.000	1.	E-CASA DOM:	1	
		A021 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2	1		and the second second	1	1000 Contract 1000 Contract	102010000	160.00	1.5 GPR 00000	CONTRACTOR 1000	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	40.00400000		The State State State     The State State     St	Direct Stranged	Report 00000000	1233061CS-551-C2-55	100740-07886P

Figure 19. Oxygen consumption in spawn-run compost extract . The slope indicates a high concentration of laccase.



	Growth	Yield	Compos	st analysis	S						DTG analysis	
Compost	rate			% of dry	matter		moisture	cellulose	lignin	debris ash	hollocellulose	humus fraction
Ref	mm/day	kg/t	N	NH4	Ash	рН	%	mg/g	mg/g	mg/g	wt loss %	% wt loss
16/02A	8.9		2.646	0.020	24	7.8	72.0	341	258	35	51.2	20.5
16/02B	8.6		2.576	0.041	25	7.7	72.0	404	280	46	56.4	17.0
16/02C	9.0		2.310	0.069	24	7.7	72.6	464	290	45	55.0	17.9
16/02D	8.4		2.352	0.080	23	7.9	72.2	292	563	39	52.6	20.9
01/03A	9.1	268	2.520	0.030	22	7.9	71.6					
01/03B	8.9	268	2.436	0.045	24	7.7	72.1					
01/03C	8.3	268	2.562	0.036	22	7.8	73.0	380	298	42	56.5	17.6
01/03D	8.4	268	2.380	0.046	25	7.8	72.8					
02/03	8.9	279	2.478	0.034	25	7.9	71.6	265	308	51	50.2	19.4
03/03	8.7	268	2.380	0.076	22	7.8	74.9	294	308	48	50.2	18.6
05/03	9.0	263	2.520	0.038	25	7.9	70.3	282	379	50	54.2	17.8
06/03N	9.1	218	2.478	0.053	22	7.9	76.6	275	344	50		
06/03W	8.9	170	2.310	0.070	24	7.8	78.9	297	329	73	50.0	18.1
07/03	8.1	220	2.466	0.035	26	7.7	70.9	311	287	39	55.1	18.6
08/03N	8.3	210	2.422	0.039	22	7.9	73.0	275	344	75		
08/03W	8.4	110	2.380	0.059	25	7.8	78.2	269	401	71		
10/03	8.2	257	2.478	0.039	24	8	73.0	313	326	67		
11/03ED	6.5	220	2.422	0.037	27	7.9	64.3	269	357	62		
11/03D	8.0	220	2.380	0.038	28	8	64.9	282	377	59		
11/03N	7.9	220	2.352	0.035	25	7.8	69.3	271	347	61		
11/03W	7.4	220	2.100	0.070	22	7.9	77.5	271	346	54		

Table 11. Analysis of Phase II composts, mushroom mycelial growth rate and mushroom yield

# <u>Part 3. Effects of recycled water analysis, treatment and application on odours and compost.</u>

# Introduction

The mushroom industry is under environmetal pressure due to its odour emissions. If environmentally unacceptable levels of smell are to be eliminated, the use of recycled run-off or 'goody' water and watering management needs to be improved. Determining the relevant chemical and microbial properties of recycled water, and influences of water treatment, could lead to a significant reduction in the odours from mushroom composting and water storage facilities. Recycled water quality and management also have a critical effects on the efficiency of the composting process and subsequent mushroom growth.

A previous HortLINK project showed that sulphides, produced from sulphur-containing proteins in poultry manure under anaerobic conditions, were the main cause of the odours from mushroom composting (Noble et al 2001). Substitution of poultry manure with low odour nitrogen sources and an increased use of aeration resulted in significant reductions in odour levels from mushroom composting (Noble et al 2001). Research in Canada has shown that recycled water can contribute significantly to site odour levels and increase odours from susbequent composting (Duns et al 1999). However, the cause of odours from recycled water in terms in microbial populations (sulphide producers and other anaerobes) and chemical compounds such as sulphides or fatty acids has not been determined. This would enable effective methods of recycled water treatment to be formulated. Methods for detecting low concentrations sulphides on mushroom composting sites have been developed using pulsed fluoresence analysers (Noble et al 2001). Anaerobic run-off liquor can also have a deleterious effect on subsequent mushroom growth (Heineman & Engels 1953).

Here, the following hypotheses will be tested in relation odours from recycled water:

- Are odours (sulphides) produced by recycled water related to its chemical and microbial composition?
- Does the odour produced by composting relate to the composition of recycled water, in terms of chemical compounds or the microbial community structure determined from PCR and PLFA analysis?
- Are the presence of particular compounds in recycled water (e.g. organic acids) detrimental to mushroom growth and cropping?
- Can the chemical and microbial composition of recycled water be modified so that it is less detrimental in terms of odour and sulphide emissions and mushroom growth?

# Materials and Methods

# Collection of goody water samples

Goody water was obtained from the storage pits of 13 compost yards. Samples were obtained either by immersing a 9 litre bucket to the full depth of the storage pit, or from the recirculation pipe which drew liquid from the bottom of the pit. Common ingredients for the compost prepared on the sites were wheat straw, poultry manure and gypsum. Horse manure was used on four of the sites and urea was used on six of the sites. The water was stored in 3 litre plastic bottles for up to 2 weeks at 2 C before analysis of the liquid (after returning to room temperature) and of freeze dried material. Samples (1 litre) were freeze-dried for 1 week and the weight of the resulting dry matter was determined.

#### Analysis of goody water

## *Redox potential*

Redox indicator electrodes measure the ratio of oxidised species to reduced species in a solution. A WaterWatch System 2600 (EuaxSys (UK) Ltd, Camelford, Cornwall) probe and data logger were used for measuring redox potential. Goody water (1 litre) was filled in a beaker at room temperature, the electrode was immersed in the water and the redox potential measured when a stable reading was obtained after 10 min.

## Dissolved oxygen concentration

Dissolved oxygen was measured with a polarized probe meter (Hanna Instruments). Goody water (1 litre) at room temperature was filled in a beaker and stirred with a magnetic stirrer. The probe was immersed in the water and the dissolved oxygen was measured when a stable reading was obtained after 5 min.

# Conductivity and pH

Electrodes were used to measure the conductivity and pH of goody water at room temperature.

## Analysis of sulphite reducing bacteria (SRB)

The populations of sulphite reducing *Clostridia* spp. (an indicator species of sulphite reducing bacteria) were determined by counting the colony forming units (cfu) using selective media.

## Analysis of Phospholipid fatty acids

*Extraction.* Freeze-dried goody water (0.5 g) fresh was placed in a 50 ml tube with teflon-lined screw cap. Citrate buffer was added to make the water content of sample and buffer 1.5 CHCl<sub>3</sub> (1.9 ml), 3.8 ml MeOH and 2 ml Bligh and Dye (CHCl<sub>3</sub>:MeOH:buffer) were added, Vortexed and left to separate for 2 hours. The mixture was then Vortexed and centrifuged (ca 2500 rpm for 10 min). The supernatant (using pipette and handler) was transferred into another test tube and washed (Vortex, centrifuge and transfer as above) with 2.5 ml Bligh and Dye. The phases were split by adding 3.1 ml CHCl<sub>3</sub> and 3.1 ml buffer. The mixture was again Vortexed for 1 min and left overnight to separate. 1 ml of the lower organic phase was transferred to a small test-tube and evaporated under a stream of nitrogen gas

*Lipid fractionation.* The lipids were now separated into different classes with increasing polarity: neutral lipids (including hydrocarbons, free fatty acids and sterols), glycolipids and polar lipids (phospholipids). Silicic acid was activated at  $120^{\circ}$ C for 1 h. Funnels were washed twice with CHCl<sub>3</sub>:MeOH, and the column packed by placing small ball of glass wool (washed) in 'bottom'. The funnel was washed through with CHCl<sub>3</sub> and 0.5g silicic acid 'dissolved' in CHCl<sub>3</sub> added. The dry lipid material was dissolved in 3x100  $\Box$ 1 CHCl<sub>3</sub> and transfered to column. Neutral lipids were eluted with 5 ml CHCl<sub>3</sub>, glycolipids with 20 ml acetone, and polar lipids with 5 ml MeOH. The MeOH eluate was collected in small tubes and evaporated down under nitrogen.

*Mild alkaline methanolysis.* The samples were dissolved in 1 ml MeOH:toluene. 1 ml 0.2 M KOH was added and incubated in water bath at  $37^{0}$ C for 15 min. 2 ml hexane:CHCl<sub>3</sub> (4:1), 0.3 ml 1M acetic acid and 2 ml water were added. The mixture was vortexed for 1 min and centrifuged for 5 min. The upper organic phase was transferred to a new test tube. The organic phase was evaporated under a stream of nitrogen and store sample in freezer at -20 C. The mixture was dissolved in 100 ml hexane for analysis in GC-MS using a wax column and the bacterial fatty acids standard for reference retention time and the C19:0 for quantitation.

Analysis of air surrounding goody water

## Odour sampling

Odour samples were collected in 20 L Teflon bags as follows:

(i) from 1 litre Duran bottles containing 100 mL of goody water

(ii) from goody water tanks on composting sites .

Two replicates were made for each sample. The odour samples were then transported to IGER North Wyke and analysed within 24 h.

# Olfactometry (Odour panel analysis)

Odour concentration (OC) was determined by an odour panel using dilution olfactometry. A dynamic dilution olfactometer (type DTM, Project Research, Amsterdam) was used according to recommendations in van den Berg (1992), i.e. a forced choice type presentation where six panellists were required to choose between two sniffing ports, one containing odourless air, and the other diluted, odorous air. Threshold values, at which 50% of the panel could just detect an odour, were determined and odour concentration (OC) expressed as Odour Units m<sup>-3</sup> (OU m<sup>-3</sup>) air. A range of six dilutions was presented to the panellists in steps of ascending concentrations, each differing from the next by a factor of two and each range being presented twice. OC was calculated according to the Dravneiks and Prokov (1975) method. Measurements of the sensitivity of the odour panellists for each set of OC measurements was performed with 198.2 mg m<sup>-3</sup> (60 ppm) butan-1-ol in nitrogen.

Samples were also tested with a newer Olfactomat "C" Project Research, Amsterdam instrument, which operated on the same principles as the DTM instrument.

Odour panellists also made an assessment of odour intensity of the samples according to Burton et al (1997). Duran bottles (1 litre) containing 100 mL samples of goody water were presented to the panellists who were required to indicate the subjective strength of the odour, according to the following scale:

0 No odour

1 Very faint odour

- 2 Faint odour
- 3 Distinct odour
- 4 Strong odour
- 5 Very strong odour
- 6 Extremely strong odour.

#### Gas Chromatography-Mass Spectrometry (GC-MS) analysis

Volatile compounds were preconcentrated from a 600 ml odour samples by adsorbtion onto silica (Orbo 52, Supelco Inc., Supelco Park, Bellefonte, PA, 16823-0048 USA) and carbon (Orbo 32) based adsorbents. The concentrated odorants were then thermally desorbed from the adsorbents into the GC-MS system for identification and quantification. Chromatographic retention time and mass spectral matching were used to confirm odorant identity. Quantification was performed by desorbing 8 1 of a standard odour identified in the preconcentrated headspace, from the adsorbent.

A Hewlett Packard (hp) (hp Ltd, Heathside Park Road, Cheadle Heath, Stockport, Cheshire, UK) GC-MS system consisting of a 5890 II Series gas chromatograph and a 5972A mass selective detector (MSD II) was used for analysis. A 25 m fused silica (cross linked methyl siloxane) hp-1 column with an internal diameter (id) of 0.2 mm and a 0.34  $\mu$ m film with a 1m deactivated fused silica guard column (0.25mm id) were used. The flow rate of the helium the eluting gas was 0.75 ml min<sup>-1</sup>. The Optic temperature programmable injector (Ai Cambridge Ltd, Pampisford, Cambridge, UK) was used to desorb headspace samples from the

adsorbents and was initially at 30°C and heated to 16°C s<sup>-1</sup> for 1 min. An electronic pressure controller was used to offset peak pressure broadening with increasing GC column temperature. The GC oven conditions were an initial temperature of 40°C, then to 220°C at 15°C min<sup>-1</sup> and remaining at 220°C for 1 min. The GC-MS interface was at 280°C. The mass spectrometer scanned from 35 to 250 mass units every 0.2 s to give responses in the ng range.

Volatile organic compounds (VOCs) detected by the mass spectrometer were identified using a probability based matching algorithm and a NIST mass spectral library. Compounds were declared unknown if their matching probability was less than 80 (100 being a perfect match).

#### Gas detector tubes

A Dräger Accuro bellows pump (Drägerwerek, Lübeck, Germany) was used in conjunction with appropriate detector tubes: acetic acid (6722101), carbon disulphide,  $CS_2$  (8101891), DMS (6728451), H<sub>2</sub>S (8101991 and 8101831), and mercaptan (thiols) (6728981). Detector tubes were used on-site in the same way as sampling odours for collection in Teflon bags, 24h after on-site sampling. Dräger tubes were also used for measuring the headspace of Duran bottles containing goody water samples (see above) and the air inside composting flasks (see below). Two replicate measurements were made for each sampling.

#### Electronic sulphide analyser

A method was developed with Casella eti Monitor Europe) for measuring sulphides in odour samples using an pulsed fluorescence sulphur dioxide analyser. A ML9850B sulphur dioxide analyser, capable of measuring sulphur dioxide in the range 0-500ppb was connected to a thermal oxidiser. The thermal oxidiser converted sulphide into sulphur dioxide which could then be measured.

Preparation of composts from goody water samples

The same bench-scale composting procedure used for composting wheat straw samples was used. Goody water (2 litres) was used in place of fresh water, except for the control treatment. Odours were assessed after 24 h in the flasks using 6 panellists and the above 0-6 odour intensity scale.

#### Results

Dimensions and other details of goody water pits, and method of sampling are shown in Table 12. Some form of screening of solid matter from the recycled water was conducted on eight of the 13 sites, and nine of the storage pits had some form of aeration. This consisted of submerged pipes, submerged propeller with pipes, a surface aerator and/or recirculation of liquor from the bottom of the pit or storage tank.

Chemical and microbial analysis of goody water samples

Analysis of all the samples collected is still incomplete, but results obtained to date are shown in Table 13. The results show large differences between samples in terms of pH, conductivity, Redox potential, dry matter content, sulphite reducing bacteria (SRB, *Clostridia* spp.). Hydrogen sulphide and odour of the different samples also showed a wide range (Table 13). When all the data has been collected (November 2004), analyses will be conducted to determine which factors are related to each other, and which are the important factors in terms of odour emissions from goody water. A general trend is that the samples with the most negative Redox potential also resulted in the greatest hydrogen sulphide and odour emissions. The subsequent composts prepared from these samples also resulted in the greatest sulphide and odour emissions (Table 14).

Figure 20 shows the relationship between dissolved oxygen concentration at Redox potential of goody water samples. Although several of the samples had a zero value of dissolved oxygen, they had different Redox potentials, which demonstrates their oxygen demand. This demonstrates the problem of using dissolved oxygen meters which do not provide information on the oxygen demand of samples with a zero oxygen concentration.

Phospholipid fatty acid analysis of the goody water samples is currently being conducted.

Analysis of air samples from goody water pits

Analysis of air samples from goody water pits showed that this could be significant source of odour from composting sites (Table 15). Hydrogen sulphide and dimethyl sulphide could both be detected with gas detector tubes, but not acetic acid (Table 15). Several other volatile odorous sulphur-containing compounds were identified in the air surrounding goody pits using gas chromatography-mass spectrometry (GC-MS) (Table 16). A number of other odorous compounds were identified in these air samples using GC-MS, including alcohols, ketones and fatty acids (Table 17). Examples of GC-MS spectra obtained at IGER and JEOL are shown in Figures 21 and 22.

# **Conclusions Part 3**

- 1. Techniques were developed for measuring the chemical, microbial and odour properties of recycled goody water in storage pits.
- 2. Redox potential was found to be better indication of the oxygen demand of goody water samples since a dissolved oxygen meter could not discriminate between several samples which had zero values, but differing Redox potentials and odours.
- 3. Goody water samples with a lower Redox potential tended to produce more volatile sulphides and were more odorous than samples with a less negative Redox potential.
- 4. There also wide ranges in the dry matter content, pH, conductivity, sulphite reducing bacteria and chemical analysis of goody water samples obtained from different sites.
- 5. Composts prepared from the most anaerobic goody water samples (lowest Redox potentials) also produced the most volatile sulphides and strongest odours during subsequent composting.

Site	Depth sample	Depth	Volume	Screened	Aeration
	m	m	m3		
1	1	2	150	yes	yes
2	2	2	80	no	yes
3	4	4	450	yes	yes
4	1			no	no
5	1	4	450	yes	yes
6	4	4	450	yes	yes
7	0.5	4	200	no	yes
8	1.5	2	10	yes	yes
9	1	1.3	517	yes	yes
10	4	4	450	no	no
11	1.4	2	240	yes	no
12	4	4	450	no	no
13	4	4	450	yes	yes

Table 12. Details of goody water pits on different sites

Table 13. Chemical and microbial (sulphite reducing bacteria, SRB) analysis of goody water samples from different sites

Site	pН	Conduct	Redox	DM	SRB	H2S	Odour
		uS/cm		g/L	cfu/ml	ppm	
1	6.93	484.6	-146				
1	7.34	456	-329	5.57	0		
1	7.53	405	-303	5.43	20	12	2.3
2	7.3	541	-371	5.42	0	20	2
3	6.95	460	-318	4.23	0	6	2.6
4	7.16	790	-377	8.18	43	30	2.8
5	7.58	428	-343	4.13	49	11	1.9
6	7.1	296	-83	3.69	0	0	0.6
7	6.71	264	-325	31.46	76	180	3.9
8	6.88	186.6	-106				
8	5.49	173.5	-96				
8	7.27	111	-160	3.47	0	0	0.3
9	7.54	481	-287	5.75			
9	7.01	772	-350		0	4	2.2
10	7.77	539.6	-175				
10	6.78	2350	-361			540	3.9
11	7.06	1452	-348		86	540	3.1
12	8.51	455.1	-204				
12	7.32	1268	-373			50	2.3
13	6.57	457.1	-171				
13	7.13	1374	-377		5	40	2.3
water	7.55	67			0		

Site	H2S	DMS	Odour
	ppm	ppm	
1	290	800	2.5
2	14	6	1.8
3	10	70	2.6
4	396	30	2.85
5	200	60	2.8
6	35	48	3.1
7	5000	5000	4.9
8	20	70	4.3
9	150	180	2.8
10	1000	4000	3.8
11	1	20	2.5
12	590	3200	2.2
13	20	60	3.8
water	3.5	13	1.85

Table 14. Analysis of emissions from flask composts prepared from goody water and fresh water

Table 15. Analysis of odour emissions from goody water pits using gas detector tubes

	Odour	H2S	DMS	Acetic
Sample	OU/m3	ppm	ppm	ppm
1	8474			
2	7968			
3	929	0.3	0	0
4	4662	0.3	1	0
5	14766	0.2	2	0
6	20086			
7	5435	0.5	2	0

Table 16. Volatile sulphur containing compounds identified in emissions from goody water pits by GC-MS

	methanethiol	Carbon	Dimethyl	Dimethyl	dimethyl
Sample		disulphide	sulphide	disulphide	trisulphide
1	0.32	3.07	0.34	4.86	0.14
2	0.35	18.18	2.31	7.10	0.28
3	57.40	2950.50	595.20	1813.27	489.35
4	0	0.12	0.53	3.18	0.13
5	0	0.14	0.24	1.09	0.14

Compound	Concentration, mg/m3			
	min	max		
Acetic acid	0.05	44.78		
Acetone	10.1	10.6		
Butanal	0.12	0.24		
Butanoic acid	0.13	1.54		
1-Butanol	35.09	52.08		
Ethanol	2.60	3.41		
Ethyl benzene	2.52	34.64		
Furan	0.17	0.24		
Heptane	0.49	0.50		
Hexane	0.23	0.32		
Methyl Ethyl Ketone	0.05	0.91		
3-(methylthio)-propanal	3.22	8.46		
Phenol	3.45	4.42		
1,2,4-trimethyl benzene	0.85	21.48		
p-Xylene	1.24	35.22		

Table 17. Other volatile compounds identified in emissions from goody water pits by GC-MS





Figure 22. GC-MS analysis of goody water at JEOL indicating presence of phosopholipids and fatty acids



# **TECHNOLOGY TRANSFER**

# Publications and Presentations resulting from the project

Publications Improved efficiency and environmental impact of mushroom composting. HortLINK Leaflet HL 0163. Noble R, Kilpatrick M, Compost research HDC News October 2004.

#### Presentation

Noble R, Compost Research, Warwick HRI Mushroom Subject Day/ Mushroom Growers Association Conference, October 2004.

#### References

- Andrews J, Adams SR, Burton KS, Edmondson RN. 2002 Partial purification of tomato fruit peroxidase and its effect on the mechanical properties of tomato fruit skin. J. Exp. Botany 53, 2393-2399.
- Anonymous. 2002. NIAB Guide to varieties of cereals, oilseeds & pulses. Autumn 2002. National Institute of Agricultural Botany, Cambridge.
- Burton CH, Sneath RW, Misselbrook TH & Pain BF 1998 The effect of farm scale aerobic treatment of piggery slurry on odour concentration, intensity and offensiveness. J. agric. Engng. Res. 71: 203 211.
- Chalaux N, Olivier JM, Minvielle N. 1991. Bench-scale composting and wheat straw degradability. In: Maher MJ (ed) Science and Cultivation of Edible Fungi, Balkema, Rotterdam. p 207-214.
- Dravneiks A, Prokop, W H 1975 Source emission odour measurement by a dynamic forcedchoice triangle olfactometer. J Air Pollut Cont Assn 25: 1.
- Duns GJ, Ripley BD, Rinker DL. 1999. Monitoring the production of odorous compounds during Phase I mushroom composting. Mushroom News 47: 12-23.
- Flegg PB, Wood DA 1985. Growth and fruiting. In: The Biology and Cultivation of the Edible Mushroom. Flegg PB, Spencer DM, Wood DA (eds). John Wiley & Sons Ltd. Chichester, UK 141-177.
- Heinemann P, Engels S. 1953. Toxicitie des acides organiques sur le mycelium d'Agaricus Hortensis et especes voisines, en culture pure. Mushroom Science 2: 49-51.
- Lyons G, McCall RD, Sharma HSS. 2000. Physical degradation of wheat straw by the in-vessel and windrow methods of mushroom compost production. Can J Microbiol 46:817-825.
- Noble, R. and R.H. Gaze. 1994. Controlled environment composting for mushroom cultivation: substrates based on wheat and barley straw and deep litter poultry manure. J. Agric. Sci. Camb. 123: 71-79.
- Noble R. & Gaze R.H. (1998) Composting in aerated tunnels for mushroom cultivation: Influences of process temperature and substrate formulation on compost bulk density and productivity. Acta Horticulturae 469: 417-427.
- Noble, R., T.R. Fermor, C.E. Evered, and P.T. Atkey. 1997. Bench-scale preparation of mushroom substrates in controlled environments. Compost Sci. Utiliz. 5 (3): 32-43.
- Noble R., Gaze R.H. and Willoughby N. (1998) A high yielding substrate for mushroom experiments: Formula 3. Mushroom Journal 587: 27,28.
- Noble, R. P. J. Hobbs, A. Dobrovin-Pennington, T.H. Misselbrook and A.Mead. 2001. Olfactory Response to Mushroom Composting Emissions as a Function of Chemical Concentration. Journal of Environmental Quality 30: 760 - 767.
- Rahn CR, Bending GD, Lillywhite RD, Turner MK 2002. Chemical characterisation of vegetable and arable crop residue materials: a comparison of methods. J. Science Food Agriculture 79:1715-1721.
- Savoie JM, Chalaux N, Olivier JM. 1992. Variability in straw quality and mushroom production: Importance of fungicide schedules on chemical composition and potential degradability of wheat straw. Bioresource Technology 41:161-166.
- Sharma HSS, Faughey G, Chambers J, Lyons G, Sturgeon S. 2000. Assessment of winter wheat cultivars for changes in straw composition and digestibility in response to fungicide and growth regulator treatment. Ann. appl. Biol. 137: 297-303.

- Sharma HSS, Kilpatrick M. 2000. Mushroom (*Agaricus bisporus*) compost quality factors for predicting potential yield of fruiting bodies. Canadian Journal Microbiology 46:515-519.
- Smith JF, Claydon N, Love ME, Allan M, Wood DA. 1989. Effect of substrate depth on extracellular endocellulose and laccase production of *Agaricus bisporus*. Mycol. Res. 93:292-296.
- Smith J.F., Wood, D.A. and Thurston, C.F. (1995) Growth measurement of *Agaricus* mycelium in composted substrates as an indicator of compost selectivity and mushroom productivity. In: *Science and Cultivation of Edible Fungi* (ed. T.J. Elliott), 293-301.
- Van den Berg M, 1992 Sensory odour measurements using an olfactometer. Nederlands Normalisatie Instituut, Kalfjeslaan 2, Postbus 5059, 2600 GB Delft, Netherlands.
- Wood DA & Goodenough PW, 1977. Fruiting of Agaricus bisporus Changes in Extracellular enzyme activities during growth and fruiting. Archives of Microbiology 114:161-165.